IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 3RD DECEMBER 2007 / 12TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

RP.No. 892 of 2005(C)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WA.1726/2003 Dated 03/02/2004
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER/RESPONDENT-PETITIONER:

G. SUDARSANAN,
LAB TECHNICIAN GRADE I (HIGHER GRADE),
INSTITUTE OF ANIMAL HEALTH AND VETERINARY
BIOLOGICALS, PALODE, NEDUMANGAD TALUK, PACHA P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR

RESPONDENTS: APPELLANTS/RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY (FINANCE)
(PRC-C) DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DIRECTOR OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY,
VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SHRI K. SANDESH RAJA

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S. Radhakrishnan &
T.R. Ramachandran Nair, JJ.

- -

R.P.NO.892 of 2005 in
W.A. No.1726 of 2003

- -

Dated this the 3rd day of December, 2007

O R D E R

Radhakrishnan, J.

The review petition was preferred by the writ petitioner pointing out that there is an error in the judgment and praying to set aside the judgment. Learned counsel for the review petitioner brought to our notice several orders passed by the Government granting benefit to similarly placed persons. Reference was made to Annexure XXIII and other consequential orders, to establish that in similar circumstances, Government had granted relief to various persons. Reference was also made to Annexure XXVIIII notes. Going through the above mentioned documents, we are of the view that the case set up by the petitioner requires consideration at the hands of the Government.

2. In such circumstances, we are inclined to allow the review petition and set aside the judgment in Writ Appeal No.1726/2003 and direct the Government to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the judgment of the learned Single Judge and Annexure XXIII, within a period

of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The review petition is disposed of as above.

(K.S. Radhakrishnan, Judge.)

(T.R. Ramachandran Nair, Judge.)

kav/

K.S. Radhakrishnan &
T.R. Ramachandran Nair, JJ.

- -

No.

- -

JUDGMENT

November, 2007.